



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,107	12/17/2001	Sami Haapoja	872.0105.U1(US)	3127

29683 7590 08/24/2005
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP
4 RESEARCH DRIVE
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212

EXAMINER

JAMAL, ALEXANDER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2643

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/024,107

Applicant(s)

HAAPOJA ET AL.

Examiner

Alexander Jamal

Art Unit

2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Based upon the submitted amendment (7-7-2005), the examiner notes that claims 4,5 have been amended and claims 15-20 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-20** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abdelgany et al. (6584090), and further in view of Shalom et al. (6166601) and further in view of Abdelmonem et al. (6622028).

As per **claims 1,8,15,20**, Abdelgany discloses a transceiver comprising a transmit path and receive path (Fig. 4). Both paths comprise RF filters (164,92,168,98,176,78,74,156 ect.). The system is a CDMA system with frequency band channels. The device further comprises antenna 22 coupled to both the transmit and receive paths. However, Abdelgany does not disclose circuitry to compensate for the non-linearity of both transmit and receive RF filters.

Shalom discloses a transceiver that applies digital equalization to the RF amplifier in order to produce highly linear amplification (Col 3 lines 29-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to implement digital equalization for both the transmit and receive amplifiers for the advantage of producing a highly linear response from the amplifiers.

Abdelmonem discloses a transceiver (Col 3 line 60 to Col 4 line 15) and teaches that an equalizer may be used to compensate for the non-linear behavior of the receive RF filters (Col 5 line 58 to Col 6 line 5) in wide channel systems such as W-CDMA. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to implement digital equalization in the transceiver for the advantage of compensating for nonlinear filter effects.

As per **claims 2,3,9,10**, the device of the claim 1 rejection would compensate for all transmit and receive channels.

As per **claim 17**, Abdelgany discloses that the transceiver may be a direct conversion receiver.

As per **claims 4,5,11,12,16**, the device comprises an FIR which is a DSP (SHALOM: Col 3 lines 45-65).

As per **claims 6,7,13,14,18,19**, Abdelmonem discloses that the system may be a W-CDMA system, which has the same ranges of transmit and receive frequencies as specified in claim 6.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per applicant's arguments (remarks pages 7-8) that Shalom does not teach to implement equalization for both the transmit and receive amplifiers, examiner contends that producing a linear (more ideal) response is valid motivation to provide equalization to both the transmit and receive paths in a system. Examiner further notes that it would have been obvious to provide equalization for any stage within both the transmit and receive paths in order to produce a linear (more ideal) response for every stage. Examiner further notes that the RF amplifier is read as comprising an RF filter (the frequency response of the amplifier). Examiner further notes that even if Shalom could not be used to teach equalizing an amplifier in the receive path, the Abdelmonem reference teaches the use of digital equalization for receive path filters. Examiner further contends that the Abdelmonem teachings could be applied to RF filters in either the transmit or receive paths in order to produce more linear amplification/filtering for the transmit and receive signals.

As per applicant's arguments (remarks page 7) that none of the cited art discloses equalization that is responsive to the currently selected RF channel, examiner disagrees. An equalizer inherently (by definition) comprises a frequency response across the entire spectrum. That frequency response is 'responsive' (@ the frequency of interest) to whatever channel within a particular frequency range is being fed into the equalizer at that moment. The Shalom and Abdelmonem references disclose that equalization is used

Art Unit: 2643

to counter the non-linear effects of the amplifiers/filters, as such those equalizers would comprise the appropriate phase/frequency response for all channels being fed through the filters/amplifiers. Examiner further notes that the frequency response of the equalizer will 'selectively compensate' for each channel based upon the frequency band of the channel.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2643

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are **571-273-8300** for regular communications and **571-273-8300** for After Final communications.

AJ
August 18, 2005


DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER